© 2018 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Zaragoza. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). TIP Revista Especializada en Ciencias Químico-Biológicas, 21(1): 14-23, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.recqb.2017.08.002

UNCOVERING ACTIVITY CLIFF GENERATORS USING DISTRIBUTION OF **SALI** VALUES

José L. Medina-Franco^{1*} and Karina Martinez-Mayorga²

¹Facultad de Química, Departamento de Farmacia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Avenida Universidad #3000, Mexico City 04510, Mexico. ²Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Avenida Universidad #3000, Mexico City 04510, Mexico, E-mails: ¹jose.medina.franco@gmail.com, ²kmtzm@unam.mx

ABSTRACT

Activity cliffs are defined as compounds with high structure similarity but large potency difference. Identification of activity cliffs have a significant impact in lead optimization in medicinal chemistry, and computational applications such as the development of predictive models and the selection of queries for similarity searching. Therefore, the identification of compounds highly associated with activity cliffs in a given data set i.e., 'activity cliff generators', is of major relevance. Herein, we report the identification of activity cliffs and structure-activity relationships of a set of 289 synthetic compounds tested in a G protein-coupled receptor kinase, GRK. To account for information of multiple structure representations we used mean Structure-Activity Landscape Index (SALI). Structural fragments responsible for the activity are discussed.

Key Words: activity cliff, consensus activity landscape, GRK6, Structure-Activity Landscape Index.

List of Abbreviations: CDF, Cumulative distribution function; GRK6, G protein-coupled receptor kinase 6; SALI, Structure-Activity Landscape Index; SAR, structure-activity relationships; SAS, Structure-Activity Similarity.

Descubriendo los generadores de la actividad de los acantilados, utilizando el índice promedio de los valores de SALI

Resumen

Los acantilados de actividad se definen como compuestos con alta similitud estructural, pero también con alta diferencia en potencia. Estos compuestos tienen un impacto significativo en la optimización de líderes en química medicinal y en aplicaciones computacionales, como el desarrollo de modelos predictivos y en la selección de moléculas de referencia en búsquedas basadas en similitud molecular. Por lo tanto, es de gran relevancia la identificación de compuestos altamente asociados con los acantilados como por ejemplo los "generadores de acantilados de actividad". En este trabajo se reportan la identificación de acantilados de actividad y las relaciones estructura-actividad de un grupo de 289 compuestos obtenidos por síntesis química que han sido evaluados a través de una proteína quinasa reguladora de receptores acoplados a proteínas-G. Para considerar la información de múltiples representaciones estructurales, se empleó el promedio del índice SALI (Structure-Activity Landscape Index) y se discuten también fragmentos estructurales responsables de la actividad biológica.

Palabras Clave: acantilados de actividad, consenso de panoramas de actividad, GRK6, índice de panorama estructuraactividad.

Nota: Artículo recibido el 17 de abril de 2017 y aceptado el 28 de agosto de 2017.

INTRODUCTION

nalysis of the structure-activity relationships (SAR) of compound data sets using the concept of activity landscape modeling is gaining relevance in the medicinal and computational chemistry communities (Guha, 2012; Dimova et al., 2014). It is well-recognized that the identification of activity cliffs, defined as compounds with high structure similarity but large potency difference, has a major impact in lead optimization efforts. As such, activity cliffs have a 'nice face' because they provide key structural information of specific and frequently subtle changes in the structure associated with large changes in activity. At the same time, activity cliffs have an 'ugly face', representing the bottle neck of computational predictive models that often assume smooth regions of the SAR landscape. The 'duality' of the roles of activity cliffs in drug discovery has been recently commented (Cruz-Monteagudo et al., 2014). Also, it has been argued that activity cliffs may be artifacts of the molecular representation or artifacts due to, for example, errors in the measurement of potency (Medina-Franco, 2013).

Activity landscape analysis and identification of activity cliffs has been traditionally made based on pairwise relationships. Although detecting specific structural changes that have a dramatic impact on the activity (vide supra) is relevant, identifying individual compounds with high frequency among activity cliffs has outmost importance for example, to select queries in similarity searches. Increasing efforts have been devoted towards the identification of single compounds that 'induce' or at least are associated with activity cliffs. Our group proposed a method to uncover the so-called 'activity cliff generators' based on Structure-Activity Similarity (SAS) maps. SAS maps are two-dimensional plots of activity similarity (or potency difference) vs. activity similarity. All possible pairs of compounds can be represented in a SAS map (Shanmugasundaram & Maggiora, 2001; Medina-Franco, 2012). Pairs of compounds that correspond to activity cliffs can be easily recognized in the quadrant that intersects pairs of molecules with high structure similarity but low activity similarity (or high potency difference). In turn, activity cliffs generators correspond to compounds with very high frequency (e.g., two standard deviations above average) in the 'activity cliff quadrant' (or region) of the SAS map (Méndez-Lucio et al., 2012). When using this method, caution should be used to select the threshold to define the regions of the activity landscape. Certainly, the thresholds to define quantitatively 'high' (or 'low') structural or activity similarity are tailored to the specific project needs (Dimova et al., 2014; Medina-Franco, 2012).

Herein, we conducted the activity landscape study of a set of 289 synthetic compounds with activity against the G proteincoupled receptor kinase 6 (GRK6). Following our previous work, to account for the dependence of the activity landscape with the structure representation, we used mean StructureActivity Landscape Index (SALI) values that represent a consensus measure capturing information of multiple structure representations. The SALI metric was proposed by Guha and Van Drie for the quantitative analysis of activity landscapes (Guha & VanDrie, 2008; Guha & VanDrie, 2008).

METHODS

Data set

To illustrate the approach, herein we analyzed a set of 289 synthetic compounds with activity against GRK6, previously reported. The IC₅₀ values were measured under the same experimental conditions. The distribution of the activity values indicated the most active compound in the data set has an IC₅₀ of 0.079 μ M and >1x10⁴ (approximated here to 999 μ M), respectively. The pIC₅₀ range covers four log units (7.1 - 3.0). The median of the IC₅₀ values is 3.59 (pIC₅₀ = 5.45).

Fingerprint representations

A total of seven 2D fingerprint representations were computed with Canvas, 2012; Sastry *et al.*, 2010: Molecular Access System (MACCS keys), radial (extended connectivity), atom pairs, topological, Molprint 2D, atom triplets, and dendritic.

Structural similarity

Structural similarities were computed with the Tanimoto coefficient Jaccard, 1901. This metric has been successfully applied in activity landscape modeling, for example in Medina-Franco *et al.*, 2009. However, other measures such as Euclidean distance can be used. For instance, in a previous work, both Euclidean distance and the Tanimoto coefficient were used to model activity landscapes using three 2D fingerprint representations (Peltason *et al.*, 2010). Authors of that work noted that the landscapes generated with Euclidean distance and Tanimoto similarity were often similar (Peltason *et al.*, 2010).

Activity cliffs with SALI

The presence of activity cliffs was evaluated quantitatively by computing the SALI values with the expression (Guha & VanDrie, 2008; Guha & VanDrie, 2008):

$$SALI_{i,j} = \frac{|A_i - A_j|}{1 - sim(i, j)}$$
Equation (1)

where A_i and A_j are the activities of the *i*th and *j*th molecules and sim(i,j) is the similarity coefficient between the two molecules. Thus, to compute SALI values any similarity method can be employed. Mean SALI values were computed in this work using the mean structure similarity of four selected 2D and 3D molecular representations (*vide infra*). This approach of using multiple and complementary structure representations to compute consensus SALI values has been used in other activity landscape studies (Medina-Franco *et al.*, 2009; Medina-Franco *et al.*, 2011; Waddell & Medina-Franco, 2012). As will be shown

later, the mean SALI values were consistent when interpreting the SAR of the data set, highlighting the feasibility of using mean fusion similarity values in characterizing activity landscapes.

Consensus SALI values

The authors have proposed that the information captured by different molecular representations can be combined using the principles of data fusion (Medina-Franco et al., 2007; Willett, 2013) to generate robust activity landscape models. One approach that was implemented in this work (and that has been used to aggregate similarity measures in activity landscape modeling) is to compute the mean structure similarity of a set of selected fingerprints (approach reviewed in Medina-Franco et al., 2013). Using this approach consensus SALI values were obtained by computing the mean structure similarity in Equation (1) (Medina-Franco et al., 2009). To compute the mean SALI values, the selection of the structural fingerprints was based on the different designs of the fingerprints and considering those with lowest linear correlation, e.g., selecting fingerprints as orthogonal as possible, with the underlying rationale that different fingerprints will capture different aspects of the molecular structures (Yongye et al., 2011).

Activity cliff generators

In order to identify activity cliff generators we employed two related approaches which are based on the distribution of the SALI values for the compound data set:

- a) In the first approach, all molecule pairs with a high mean SALI value were identified. To define 'high' SALI value we computed the mean and standard deviation of the distribution of the mean SALI values, and then selected the molecule pairs with SALI values greater than two standard deviations. Then, for each compound, we computed the frequency of occurrence among the pairs highly-ranked. Activity cliff generators are selected as the molecules with the highest frequencies (followed by visual examination, as interpretability check). This approach is reminiscent of a method we previously proposed to identify individual molecules associated with high selectivity in a chemogenomics data set (Yongye & Medina-Franco, 2012). As discussed above, the 'high' mean SALI value depends on the distribution of the activity and similarity data of the particular data set.
- b) In the second approach, for each molecule in the data set we computed the distribution of the mean SALI values of all the molecule pairs containing each compound. Then, individual molecules with the highest distributions (e.g., selected by the highest median) are selected as the activity cliff generators.

Consensus SAS maps

As a reference, we also generated the consensus SAS maps using a standard protocol (Medina-Franco, 2012). Briefly, for

each pair of compounds (41,616 data pairs), we plot the absolute value of the potency difference vs. the mean structure similarity. The later measure was the one used to compute the mean SALI values described above. Further details of the construction of the consensus SAS maps are provided elsewhere (Medina-Franco, 2012; Pérez-Villanueva *et al.*, 2010).

RESULTS AND **D**ISCUSION

Distribution of pairwise similarities per fingerprints

The cumulative distribution functions (CDF), and their corresponding statistics for the seven fingerprint representations employed, derived from the 41,616 pairwise similarities, are shown in Figure 1. Similarity values computed with MACCS keys (as implemented in Canvas) were associated with the highest mean and median values. Meanwhile, similarity values calculated with Atom Triplets followed by Radial had the lowest mean and median values. The different ranges of similarity values computed for the same data set have been noted in other studies (Yongye et al., 2011; Pérez-Villanueva et al., 2010) indicating the different resolution and design of the fingerprints. This observation also indicates that, in general, fingerprint representations capture different aspects of the chemical structures and further confirms the relevance of using multiple representations to derive consensus activity landscape models (Medina-Franco et al., 2009; Medina-Franco et al., 2012).

Correlations between fingerprints

In order to select the fingerprints to compute the mean structure similarities (and then compute the mean SALI values), we constructed a correlation matrix from the 41,616 pairwise similarities and established the degree of association between the seven representations (Table I). For this data set, some fingerprints showed high correlations such as dendritic-topological, atom triplets-atoms pairs, MOLPRINT 2D-radial, and MOLPRINT 2D-topological that had correlations equal or greater than 0.88. The high correlations indicate that these pairs of fingerprints capture similar structural information. Pairs of fingerprints that showed relatively low correlations were, for example, MACCS keys-atom pairs and topological and atom pairs.

Selection of fingerprints

In order to compute mean structure similarities to then calculate mean SALI values, we selected structural representations as complementary (but not redundant) as possible using two major criteria: 1) fingerprints with different design, and 2) fingerprints with correlation as low as possible. The same criteria have been used in several studies to construct consensus activity landscapes. Based on the observations above, we selected MACCS keys, radial, atom pairs, and topological fingerprints. The maximum correlation between any of the selected representations is 0.779 (between MACCS keys and radial fingerprints, Table I).



Figure 1. Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of the 41,616 pairwise similarities of the 289 compounds using seven structural representations. The table summarizes statistics of the distributions. Q3 and Q1 indicate the third and first quartiles, respectively. The table also includes selected statistics of the distribution of the mean similarity computed with 4 selected fingerprints (marked in bold).

	ΔpIC ₅₀	maccs	radial	atompairs	molprint2d	topological	atomtriplets	dendritic
ΔpIC_{50}	1.000							
maccs	-0.230	1.000						
radial	-0.283	0.779	1.000					
atompairs	-0.400	0.652	0.697	1.000				
molprint2d	-0.358	0.811	0.883	0.732	1.000			
topological	-0.339	0.720	0.823	0.665	0.882	1.000		
atomtriplets	-0.417	0.634	0.797	0.909	0.820	0.789	1.000	
dendritic	-0.355	0.694	0.840	0.644	0.876	0.982	0.790	1.000

^a In bold are marked the 4 fingerprints selected to compute the consensus models of the activity landscapes.

Table I. Correlation matrix between the 41,616 pairwise similarities using seven fingerprint representations.

Activity cliff generators based on mean SALI values for the entire distribution

The mean SALI values were computed using the four previously selected representations. Table II summarizes selected statistics of the distribution of the mean SALI values for all the 41,616 pairwise combinations in the data set. The maximum mean SALI value was 9.49 while the mean and standard deviation of the distribution was 2.12 and 1.63, respectively. The molecule pair with the highest mean SALI value was **NSMC00258-NSMC00443** (*vide infra*).

	mean SALI
MAX	9.49
Q3	3.20
Median	1.81
Q1	0.77
Min	0.00
Mean	2.12
StDev	1.63

Table II. Distribution of mean SALI values for all 41,616 individual compounds in the data set.

We considered as consensus activity cliffs if the pairs of compounds had a mean SALI value greater than the mean plus three standard deviations *i.e.*, if the mean SALI value was

greater than 7.01. Based on this heuristic criterion, 62 pairs of compounds where regarded as consensus (activity) cliffs, the 62 molecule pairs contain 41 individual molecules.

Figure 2 shows a histogram with the frequency of each of the 41 compounds present in the 62 consensus activity cliffs (derived from the mean SALI values). Figure 2 indicates that the most frequent compound is **NSMC00128** present in 23 (37%) of the consensus cliffs. This compound is followed by **NSMC00258** (13; 21%), **NSMC00443** (11, 18%), and **NSMC00286** (8, 13%). The remaining 37 molecules have a frequency equal or lower than 5 (\leq 8%). The two most frequent compounds (**NSMC00128** and **258**) are inactive. The most active molecules with high frequency are **NSMC00443** (IC₅₀ = 0.079 µM), **396** (IC₅₀ = 0.131 µM), and **444** (IC₅₀ = 0.133 µM).

Figure 3A-D shows the distribution of all 288 compounds pairs that contain the four top ranked activity cliff generators in consensus SAS maps. In each map, data points are colored by the mean SALI value using a continuous scale from green (lowest value) to red (greater value). As expected from the SALI values, red points are located towards the top-right quadrant of the plot that identifies pairs of compounds with high mean structural similarity and high potency difference. The lowest SALI values (zero, green points) are located at the bottom of the SAS maps along an x-horizontal line with potency difference value of zero. Figure 3A visually depicts that overall **NSMC00128** is the compound with the largest number of points in the topright quadrant of the SAS map. Note also that the consensus



Figure 2. Histogram with the frequency of occurrence for each compound in the compound pairs with the highest similarity values.



Figure 3. Visual representation of activity cliff generators in consensus SAS maps. Each map shows 288 data points that correspond to all pairwise comparisons containing and activity cliff generator (A) NSCM00128, (B) NSMC00258, (C) NSMC00443 and (D) NSMC00286. Data points are colored in gray scale by the mean SALI value using a continuous scale from dark gray (highest value of 9.49) to medium gray (median value of 1.81) to light gray (lowest value of zero).

SAS maps top-ranked cliff-forming compounds, have several data points with very large potency difference values larger than or close to four logarithmic units. In contrast, Figure 4 in the Supporting Information shows the distributions of data point for pairs of compounds with molecules with the lowest distributions of the SALI values. Overall, in the SAS maps of the non-cliff forming compounds, data points are located in any of the three quadrants that do not correspond to activity cliffs.

Figure 5 shows 6 representative examples of consensus activity cliffs. All compounds in these examples are activity cliff generator, in particular, NSMC00128, NSMC00258 and NSMC00443. Table III summaries the structure similarity,

potency difference and mean SALI value for each of the six pairs. The position of the activity cliffs in the SAS maps is shown in Figure 6 of the Supporting Information. The molecule pair **272-318** change in $F \rightarrow Cl$ (pair with one of the highest mean structure similarity in the data set) increases the potency from 3.31 to 0.545. The compound pair **258-398** has the second highest mean SALI value in the data set (8.61). The structural difference in this molecule pair is the presence of a furan ring in the scaffold of the molecule. This structural change has a dramatic change in the potency in 3.25 log units. The compound pair **258-443** has the highest mean SALI value in the data set (9.49) with an even more pronounced change in the potency in 4.1 log units. Compound **443** is the most active



Figure 4. Visual representation of compounds associated with the lowest mean SALI in consensus SAS maps. Each map shows 288 data points that correspond to all pairwise comparisons containing one compound. (A) NSCM00424, (B) NSMC00221, (C) NSMC00540 and (D) NSMC00352. Data points are colored by the mean SALI value using a continuous scale from red (highest value of 9.49) to yellow (median value of 1.81) to green (lowest value of zero).

in the data set (IC₅₀ = 0.079 μ M). Putting together the SAR of these two molecular pairs indicates that the SAR path marked by compounds **258** \rightarrow **398** \rightarrow **443** *i.e.*, adding a furan ring to **258** and further adding a phenyl ring in **443**, significantly improves the compound potency. Compound pair **128-111** shows that replacement of 1,8-naphthyridine ring for quinoline *i.e.*, a difference of one nitrogen atom in the heterocyclic ring, increases the potency in nearly 3 log units. Similar to the examples discussed before, compound pairs **128-250** and **128-338** (both with mean SALI values > 8.0) further show the significant increment in activity due to the addition of a furan ring to the structure of the inactive compound **128** and the replacement of the 1,8-naphthyridine ring for a quinoline ring.

CONCLUSIONS

Activity landscape analysis of a data set of synthetic compounds tested as inhibitors of GRK6 uncover several activity cliffs, in particular activity cliff generators. Activity cliff generators were identified as molecules highly frequent among molecule pairs with the largest ratio between activity difference and molecular distance as computed by the SALI measure. Multiple structure representations were considered to reduce the dependence of the activity landscape with structure representation. The SAR of the activity cliffs pointed to specific structural modifications that have a large impact in the activity of these compounds. The strategy discussed in this work can be extended to analyze the SAR for any other data set with measured activity for single or multiple targets.



Figure 5. Representative examples of consensus activity cliffs. All compounds in these examples are activity cliff generators.

Pair	MaxpIC ₅₀	ΔpIC_{50}	maccs	radial	atompairs	topological	Mean	Mean SALI
00258_00443	7.10	4.10	0.950	0.233	0.444	0.644	0.568	9.49
00258_00398	6.25	3.25	0.950	0.324	0.525	0.690	0.623	8.61
00128_00338	6.50	3.50	0.975	0.270	0.569	0.558	0.593	8.60
00128_00250	6.63	3.63	0.975	0.250	0.555	0.468	0.562	8.29
00111_00128	5.95	2.95	1.000	0.233	0.778	0.500	0.628	7.92
00272_00318	6.26	0.78	0.944	1.000	0.721	0.939	0.901	7.92

Table III. Summary of the structure similarity, potency difference and mean SALI value for representative consensus activity cliffs.



Figure 6. Position in the consensus SAS maps of six representative activity cliffs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors thank Facultad de Química and Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, for finantial support.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Visual representation of compounds associated with the lowest mean SALI in consensus SAS maps and the position in the consensus SAS maps of six representative activity cliffs is provided as Supporting Information.

REFERENCES

- Canvas Canvas, version 1.5; Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2012., Canvas, version 1.5; Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2012.
- Cruz-Monteagudo, M., Medina-Franco, J.L., Pérez-Castillo, Y., Nicolotti, O., Cordeiro, MNDS. & Borges, F. (2014). Activity cliffs in drug discovery: Dr Jekyll or Mr Hyde?. *Drug Discov. Today*, **19**, 1069-1080. DOI:10.1016/j.drudis.2014.02.003
- Dimova, D., Stumpfe, D. & Bajorath, J.(2014). Method for the Evaluation of Structure–Activity Relationship Information Associated with Coordinated Activity Cliffs. J. Med. Chem., 57, 6553-6563. DOI: 10.1021/jm500577n
- Guha, R. (2012). You have full text access to this contentExploring structure–activity data using the landscape paradigm. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2, 829-841. DOI: 10.1002/ wcms.1087
- Guha, R. & VanDrie, J.H. (2008). Structure–Activity Landscape Index: Identifying and Quantifying Activity Cliffs. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 48, 646-658. DOI: 10.1021/ci7004093
- Guha, R. & Van Drie, J.H. (2008). Assessing How Well a Modeling Protocol Captures a Structure–Activity Landscape. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 48, 1716-1728. DOI: 10.1021/ci8001414
- Jaccard, P. (1901). Etude comparative de la distribution florale dans une portion des Alpes et du Jura. *Bull. Soc. Vaudoise Sci. Nat.* 37, 547-579.
- Medina-Franco, J.L. (2012). Scanning Structure–Activity Relationships with Structure–Activity Similarity and Related Maps: From Consensus Activity Cliffs to Selectivity Switches. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 52, 2485-2493. DOI: 10.1021/ci300362x
- Medina-Franco, J.L. (2013). Activity Cliffs: Facts or Artifacts? Chem. Biol. Drug Des., 81, 553-556. DOI: 10.1111/cbdd.12115.
- Medina-Franco, J.L., Edwards, B.S., Pinilla, C., Appel, J.R., Giulianotti, M.A., Santos, R.G., Yongye, A.B., Sklar, L.A. & Houghten, R.A. (2013). Rapid Scanning Structure–Activity Relationships in Combinatorial Data Sets: Identification of Activity Switches. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 53, 1475-1485. DOI: 10.1021/ci400192y
- Medina-Franco, J.L., Maggiora, G.M., Giulianotti, M.A., Pinilla, C. & Houghten, R.A. (2007). A Similarity-based Data-fusion Approach to the Visual Characterization and Comparison of Compound Databases. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.*, **70**, 393-412. DOI: 10.1111/j.1747-0285.2007.00579.x

- Medina-Franco, J.L., Martínez-Mayorga, K., Bender, A., Marín, R.M., Giulianotti, M.A., Pinilla, C. & Houghten, R.A. (2009). Characterization of Activity Landscapes Using 2D and 3D Similarity Methods: Consensus Activity Cliffs. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 49, 477-491. DOI: 10.1021/ci800379q
- Medina-Franco, J.L., Yongye, A.B. & López-Vallejo, F. (2012). Consensus Models of Activity Landscapes. In Statistical Modeling of Molecular Descriptors in QSAR/QSPR, 307-326 (Eds. Matthias, D., Kurt, V. and Danail, B.). Wiley-VCH. DOI: 10.1002/9783527645121.ch11
- Medina-Franco, J.L., Yongye, A.B., Pérez-Villanueva, J., Houghten, R.A. & Martínez-Mayorga, K. (2011). Multitarget Structure– Activity Relationships Characterized by Activity-Difference Maps and Consensus Similarity Measure. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 51, 2427-2439. DOI: 10.1021/ci200281v
- Méndez-Lucio, O., Pérez-Villanueva, J., Castillo, R. & Medina-Franco, J.L. (2012). Identifying Activity Cliff Generators of PPAR Ligands Using SAS Maps. *Mol. Inf.*, **31**, 837-846. DOI: 10.1002/minf.201200078
- Peltason, L., Iyer, P. & Bajorath, J. (2010). Rationalizing Three-Dimensional Activity Landscapes and the Influence of Molecular Representations on Landscape Topology and the Formation of Activity Cliff. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 50, 1021-1033. DOI: 10.1021/ci100091e
- Pérez-Villanueva, J., Santos, R., Hernández-Campos, A., Giulianotti, M.A., Castillo, R., & Medina-Franco, J.L. (2010). Towards a systematic characterization of the antiprotozoal activity landscape of benzimidazole derivatives. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 18, 7380-7391. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2010.09.019
- Sastry, M., Lowrie, J.F., Dixon, S.L. & Sherman, W. (2010). Large-Scale Systematic Analysis of 2D Fingerprint Methods and Parameters to Improve Virtual Screening Enrichments. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 50, 771-784. DOI: 10.1021/ci100062n
- Shanmugasundaram, V. & Maggiora, G.M. (2001).Characterizing property and activity landscapes using an information-theoretic approach. CINF-032. In 222nd ACS National Meeting, Chicago, IL, United States Chicago, IL, United States: American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C.
- Waddell, J. & Medina-Franco, J.L. (2012). Bioactivity landscape modeling: chemoinformatic characterization of structure-activity relationships of compounds tested across multiple targets. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 20, 5443-5452. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2011.11.051
- Willett, P. (2013). Combination of Similarity Rankings Using Data Fusion. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 53, 1-10. DOI: 10.1021/ci300547g
- Yongye, A.B. & Medina-Franco, J.L. (2012). Data Mining of Protein-Binding Profiling Data Identifies Structural Modifications that Distinguish Selective and Promiscuous Compound. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 52, 2454-2461. DOI: 10.1021/ci3002606
- Yongye, A., Byler, K., Santos, R., Martínez-Mayorga, K., Maggiora, G.M. & Medina-Franco, J.L. (2011). Consensus Models of Activity Landscapes with Multiple Chemical, Conformer, and Property Representations. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 51, 1259-1270. DOI: 10.1021/ci200081k