

Chemical Space of Fungal Metabolites for Drug Discovery

QFB. Mariana González-Medina, ¹ Dr. Oscar Méndez-Lucio, ¹ M. en C. Fernando D. Martinez-Prieto, ¹ Dr. Mario Figueroa, ¹ Dr. José L. Medina-Franco, ¹ MPhil. John R. Owen, ² Dr. Tamam El-Elimat, ³ Dr. Cedric J. Pearce, ⁴ Dr. Nicholas H. Oberlies. ⁵

¹ Facultad de Química, Departamento de Farmacia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Avenida Universidad 3000, 04510 Mexico City, Mexico.
 ² High-Performance Computing Research Group, ECIT Institute, Northern Ireland Science Park, Queens Road, BT3 9DT Belfast, United Kingdom.
 ³ Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jordan University of Science and Technology, PO Box 3030, 22110 Irbid, Jordan.
 ⁴ Mycosynthetix, Inc., 505 Meadowlands Drive, Suite 103, Hillsborough, 27278 North Carolina, USA.
 ⁵ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, P.O. Box 26170, Greensboro, 27402 North Carolina, USA.

mgm_14392@comunidad.unam.mx, medinajl@unam.mx



UNAM

GENERAL

Methodology Introduction **Myc** synthetix Unique Commercial set of 223 Α libraries, with mostly CCF and Fsp³: collaborative natural products synthetic compounds, Mean complexity effort between derived from UNCG access only a small research fungi fraction of the possible groups chemical diversity¹ Scaffold

Natural This could potentially diversity Properties Drug-like products, in undermine screening diversity **Properties:** chemical contrast, possess a vast productivity and HBD, HBA, space structural diversity and therefore drug LogP, TPSA, have been proven to be MACCS keys discovery Consensus MW and RB an outstanding source fingerprint Diversity of new drugs diversity Plot Results Finger prints. Soergel intra-library distance Occupy similar and different areas of the chemical space 6 properties. Euclidean intraand CDF of approved drugs library distance Low Approved drugs High diversity Approved anticancer drugs properties Fungal metabolites measured with diversity Fungal metabolites 0.47 Fungal metabolites 2.73 fingerprints Similarity MEGx 0.50 0.51 MEGx 2.91 $1^{st} PC = HBA and HBD$ mean 0.52 $2^{nd} PC = LogP$ NATx 2.19 2.16 NATx 0.63 0.65 0.54 1.07 $3^{rd} PC = RB$ GRAS 0.66 0.65 GRAS 3.77 3.44 2.57 1.00 0.2 FUNGI Anticancer drugs 0.62 0.64 0.56 PC2 Anticancer drugs 2.96 3.22 2.60 0.53 PC3 CLINIC



Mean 0.32 0.34 0.25 0.29 0.25 0.19

Fraction of chemotypes

Conclusions

Fungal metabolites:

Higher molecular complexity and possibly increased selectivity.
 # High content of unique scaffolds.

% More diverse than commercial libraries with more compounds.
% Are drug-like and fulfill Lipinski and Veber's rules.

Share areas of the chemical space of approved drugs.

※ Occupy different areas of the chemical space of approved drugs.

 Can improve commercial libres for High Throughput Screening and a good source of novel leads.

Perspectives

* ADME/Tox predictions
* Chemical space with fingerprints
* Target fishing with epigenetic and other oncology-related targets
* Similarity searching

Acknowledgments

UNAM: PAPIME PE200116; PAIP 5000-9163

References

[1] Cragg GM. et al. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2013, 1830, 3670-3695. [2] González-Medina M. et al. *Future Med Chem*. 2016, 8, 1399-1412. [3] González-Medina M. et al. *Molec Divers*. 2017, submitted.